

39. The situation is analogous to that treated in Sample Problem 36-5, in the sense that the incident light is in a low index medium, the thin film has somewhat higher  $n = n_2$ , and the last layer has the highest refractive index. To see very little or no reflection, according to the Sample Problem, the condition

$$2L = \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\lambda}{n_2} \quad \text{where } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

must hold. The value of  $L$  which corresponds to no reflection corresponds, reasonably enough, to the value which gives maximum transmission of light (into the highest index medium – which in this problem is the water).

- (a) If  $2L = \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\lambda}{n_2}$  (Eq. 36-34) gives zero reflection in this type of system, then we might reasonably expect that its counterpart, Eq. 36-35, gives maximum reflection here. A more careful analysis such as that given in §36-7 bears this out. We disregard the  $m = 0$  value (corresponding to  $L = 0$ ) since there is *some* oil on the water. Thus, for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  maximum reflection occurs for wavelengths

$$\lambda = \frac{2n_2L}{m} = \frac{2(1.20)(460 \text{ nm})}{m} = 1104 \text{ nm}, 552 \text{ nm}, 368 \text{ nm} \dots$$

We note that only the 552 nm wavelength falls within the visible light range.

- (b) As remarked above, maximum transmission into the water occurs for wavelengths given by

$$2L = \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\lambda}{n_2} \implies \lambda = \frac{4n_2L}{2m + 1}$$

which yields  $\lambda = 2208 \text{ nm}, 736 \text{ nm}, 442 \text{ nm} \dots$  for the different values of  $m$ . We note that only the 442 nm wavelength (blue) is in the visible range, though we might expect some red contribution since the 736 nm is very close to the visible range.