

18. (a) For  $\lambda = 565 \text{ nm}$

$$hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{1240 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{nm}}{565 \text{ nm}} = 2.20 \text{ eV} .$$

Since  $\Phi_{\text{potassium}} > hf > \Phi_{\text{cesium}}$ , the photoelectric effect can occur in cesium but not in potassium at this wavelength. The result of problem 3 is used in our calculation.

- (b) Now  $\lambda = 518 \text{ nm}$  so

$$hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{1240 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{nm}}{518 \text{ nm}} = 2.40 \text{ eV} .$$

This is greater than both  $\Phi_{\text{cesium}}$  and  $\Phi_{\text{potassium}}$ , so the photoelectric effect can now occur for both metals.