

18. We use Eq. 8-18, representing the conservation of mechanical energy (which neglects friction and other dissipative effects). The reference position for computing  $U$  (and height  $h$ ) is the lowest point of the swing; it is also regarded as the “final” position in our calculations.

- (a) Careful examination of the figure leads to the trigonometric relation  $h = L - L \cos \theta$  when the angle is measured from vertical as shown. Thus, the gravitational potential energy is  $U = mgL(1 - \cos \theta_0)$  at the position shown in Fig. 8-32 (the initial position). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 + U_0 &= K_f + U_f \\ \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 + mgL(1 - \cos \theta_0) &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \left( \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 + mgL(1 - \cos \theta_0) \right)} = \sqrt{v_0^2 + 2gL(1 - \cos \theta_0)} .$$

- (b) We look for the initial speed required to barely reach the horizontal position – described by  $v_h = 0$  and  $\theta = 90^\circ$  (or  $\theta = -90^\circ$ , if one prefers, but since  $\cos(-\phi) = \cos \phi$ , the sign of the angle is not a concern).

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 + U_0 &= K_h + U_h \\ \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 + mgL(1 - \cos \theta_0) &= 0 + mgL \end{aligned}$$

which leads to  $v_0 = \sqrt{2gL \cos \theta_0}$ .

- (c) For the cord to remain straight, then the centripetal force (at the top) must be (at least) equal to gravitational force:

$$\frac{mv_t^2}{r} = mg \implies mv_t^2 = mgL$$

where we recognize that  $r = L$ . We plug this into the expression for the kinetic energy (at the top, where  $\theta = 180^\circ$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 + U_0 &= K_t + U_t \\ \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 + mgL(1 - \cos \theta_0) &= \frac{1}{2}mv_t^2 + mg(1 - \cos 180^\circ) \\ \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 + mgL(1 - \cos \theta_0) &= \frac{1}{2}(mgL) + mg(2L) \end{aligned}$$

which leads to  $v_0 = \sqrt{gL(3 + 2 \cos \theta_0)}$ .

- (d) The more initial potential energy there is, the less initial kinetic energy there needs to be, in order to reach the positions described in parts (b) and (c). Increasing  $\theta_0$  amounts to increasing  $U_0$ , so we see that a greater value of  $\theta_0$  leads to smaller results for  $v_0$  in parts (b) and (c).