Chapter 12

1. From Compton scattering $\Delta \lambda = \frac{h}{mc} (1 - \cos \theta)$, so $\Delta \lambda_{\text{max}} = \frac{2h}{mc}$. From Chapter 3 Problem 51 we know that the kinetic energy of the recoiling particle is

$$K = \left[\frac{\Delta \lambda / \lambda}{1 + \Delta \lambda / \lambda}\right] \frac{hc}{\lambda} = 5.7 \text{ MeV}$$

Using $\Delta \lambda = \frac{2h}{mc}$ from above and for convenience letting $x = \frac{2h}{mc\lambda}$, we have

$$K = \frac{2h/(mc\lambda)}{1 + 2h/(mc\lambda)} \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{x}{1 + x} \frac{mc^2}{2} x$$

$$K(1+x) = x^2 \frac{mc^2}{2}$$
 $\frac{mc^2}{2}x^2 - Kx - K = 0$

This is a quadratic equation that can be solved numerically to find $x = 0.117 = 2h/mc\lambda$, so

$$\lambda = \frac{2h}{mcx} = \frac{2(1240 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{nm})}{(938.27 \times 10^6 \text{ eV})(0.117)} = 2.26 \times 10^{-5} \text{ nm}$$

The photon's energy is

$$E = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{1240 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{nm}}{2.26 \times 10^{-5} \text{ nm}} = 54.9 \text{ MeV}$$

- 2. a) integral: ⁶Li, ¹⁸F b) half-integral: ³He, ⁷Li, ¹⁹F
- 3. In each case the atomic number equals the number of protons (Z), and the atomic charge is Ze.

$$^{3}_{5}$$
He: $Z=2$, $N=1$, $A=3$, $m=3.02$ u

$${}_{2}^{4}$$
He: $Z=2$, $N=2$, $A=4$, $m=4.00$ u

$$^{17}_{8}$$
O: $Z = 8$, $N = 9$, $A = 17$, $m = 17.0$ u

$$^{42}_{20}$$
Ca: $Z=20$. $N=22$, $A=42$, $m=42.0$ u

²¹⁰Pb:
$$Z = 82$$
, $N = 128$, $A = 210$, $m = 210.0$ u

$$^{235}_{92}$$
U: $Z=92,\;N=143,\;A=235,\;m=235.0$ u

4. ⁶Li:
$$Z = 3$$
, $N = 3$
¹³C: $Z = 6$. $N = 7$
⁴⁰K: $Z = 19$. $N = 21$
⁶⁴Cu: $Z = 29$. $N = 35$

- 5. Isotopes $^{38}\mathrm{Ca}$ through $^{52}\mathrm{Ca};$ Isobars $^{40}\mathrm{S}.$ $^{40}\mathrm{Cl},$ $^{40}\mathrm{Ar},$ $^{40}\mathrm{K},$ $^{40}\mathrm{Ca};$ Isotones $^{32}\mathrm{Mg}$ $^{34}\mathrm{Si},^{35}\mathrm{P},$ $^{36}\mathrm{S},$ $^{37}\mathrm{Cl}.$ $^{38}\mathrm{Ar},$ $^{39}\mathrm{K},$ $^{40}\mathrm{Ca}$
- * 6. $^{14}N(99.63\%)$, $^{15}N(0.37\%)$; $^{50}V(0.250\%)$, $^{51}V(99.75\%)$; $^{85}Rb(72.17\%)$, $^{87}Rb(27.83\%)$
 - 7. 39 Ar(269 y), 41 Ar(1.822 h), 42 Ar(32.9 y), 43 Ar(5.37 m), 44 Ar(11.87 m), 45 Ar(21.48 s), 46 Ar(8.4 s).
 - 250 No(0.25 ms), 251 No(0.8 s), 252 No(2.30 s), 254 No(55 s), 256 No(2.91 s), 258 No(1.2 ms), 259 No(58 m), 260 No(106 ms).

8. From the text nuclear density is 2.3×10^{17} kg/m³, which is 2.3×10^{14} times the density of water.

* 9.
$$\frac{\mu_p}{\mu_e} = \frac{2.79\mu_N}{-1.00116\mu_B} = -2.786 \frac{\mu_N}{\mu_B} = -2.787 \frac{m_e}{m_p} = -2.786 \frac{0.51100}{938.27} = -1.52 \times 10^{-3}$$

* 10. From Appendix 8 the mass of the nuclide is 55.935 u or 9.29×10^{-26} kg.

$$\rho = \frac{m}{\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3} = \frac{m}{\frac{4}{3}\pi r_0^3 A} = \frac{9.29 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg}}{\frac{4}{3}\pi (1.2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m})^3 (56)} = 2.29 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg/m}^3$$

11. The electron binding energy is virtually the same as in hydrogen, or 13.6 eV. The deuteron rest energy is $mc^2 = 1876$ MeV. The ratio of these is

$$\frac{13.6 \text{ eV}}{1876 \times 10^6 \text{ eV}} = 7.25 \times 10^{-9}$$

"Nuclear calculations" might also refer to nuclear binding energies. For the deuteron the binding energy is 2.2 MeV, so the ratio of the electronic to nuclear binding energy is on the order of 10^{-5} . It is generally safe to ignore electronic binding energies.

12. Using Equation (12.14), the values of B_d from Equation (12.9) and the atomic deuterium mass in order to evaluate the second term inside the parenthesis, we find

$$E_{\min} = B_d \left(1 + \frac{B_d}{2M \, (^2\text{H}) \, c^2} \right) = 1.00059 B_d \approx 2.22 \text{ MeV}$$

From this calculation we can see that the error is 0.00059 or 0.059%.

* 13. The distance equals the nuclear radius:

$$|F_g| = \frac{GMm}{r^2} = \frac{\left(6.673 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{kg}^2\right) \left(1.673 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}\right)^2}{\left(1.73 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}\right)^2} = 6.24 \times 10^{-35} \text{ N}$$

$$|F_e| = \frac{ke^2}{r^2} = \frac{\left(8.988 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2\right) \left(1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}\right)^2}{\left(1.73 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}\right)^2} = 77.1 \text{ N}$$

To compare with the strong force, we need the potential energy:

$$|V_g| = \frac{GMm}{r} = \frac{\left(6.673 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{kg}^2\right) \left(1.673 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}\right)^2}{\left(1.73 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}\right) \left(1.602 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J/MeV}\right)} = 6.7 \times 10^{-37} \text{ MeV}$$

$$|V_e| = \frac{ke^2}{r} = \frac{\left(8.988 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2\right) \left(1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}\right)^2}{\left(1.73 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}\right) \left(1.602 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J/MeV}\right)} = 0.83 \text{ MeV}$$

The electrostatic force is about 50 times weaker than the strong force. The gravitational force is almost 10³⁸ times weaker than the strong force.

14. The required force is

$$|F_e| = \frac{ke^2}{r^2} = \frac{(8.988 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2) (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})^2}{(2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m})^2} = 58 \text{ N}$$

We can also compare the potential energy:

$$|V| = \frac{ke^2}{r} = \frac{(8.988 \times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2) (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})^2}{(2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}) (1.602 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J/MeV})} = 0.72 \text{ MeV}$$

15. a) Think of the nucleus as the composite of $Z^{A-1}X$ and Z^{A-1} and Z^{A-1} and Z^{A-1}

$$B = \left[M \left({{_Z^{A - 1}X}} \right) + m_n - M \left({{_Z^AX}} \right) \right] c^2$$

b) Details for ⁶Li are shown. The other examples are similar. Use the atomic masses from Appendix 8:

$$B = (M (^{5}\text{Li}) + m_{n} - M (^{6}\text{Li})) c^{2}$$

= (5.012540 u + 1.008665 u - 6.015122 u) c^{2} (931.49 MeV/(u· c^{2})) = 5.67 MeV

17O:

$$B = (M(^{16}O) + m_n - M(^{17}O))c^2 = 4.14 \text{ MeV}$$

²⁰⁷Pb:

$$B = (M(^{206}\text{Pb}) + m_n - M(^{207}\text{Pb}))c^2 = 6.74 \text{ MeV}$$

16. a) As in the previous problem, consider the nucleus as the composite of $Z_{-1}^{A-1}Y$ and ¹H, so that

$$B = \left[M \begin{pmatrix} A-1 \\ Z-1 \end{pmatrix} + M \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ H \end{pmatrix} - M \begin{pmatrix} A \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \right] c^{2}$$

b) 8Be:

$$B = [M(^{7}\text{Li}) + M(^{1}\text{H}) - M(^{8}\text{Be})]c^{2}$$

= $(7.016004 \text{ u} + 1.007825 \text{ u} - 8.005305 \text{ u})c^{2}(931.49 \text{ MeV}/(\text{u} \cdot c^{2})) = 17.3 \text{ MeV}$

15O:

$$B = [M(^{14}N) + M(^{1}H) - M(^{15}O)]c^{2} = 7.3 \text{ MeV}$$

³²S:

$$B = [M(^{31}P) + M(^{1}H) - M(^{32}S)]c^{2} = 8.9 \text{ MeV}$$

17. The energy release comes from the mass difference:

$$\Delta E = \Delta mc^2 = (3M (^4\text{He}) - M (^{12}\text{C})) c^2$$

= $[3 (4.002603 \text{ u}) - 12.000 \text{ u}] c^2 (931.49 \text{ MeV}/(\text{u} \cdot c^2)) = 7.27 \text{ MeV}$

18. With an even number of nucleons the ⁴He nucleus has integer spin. Because alignment is unlikely, the net spin should be zero. The ³He nucleus has three nucleons, so its spin is half-integer. Because alignment is unlikely, the net spin should be one-half.

19. As in Problem 16

$$B = [M(^{106}Pd) + M(^{1}H) - M(^{107}Ag)]c^{2} = 5.8 \text{ MeV}$$

The electron binding energy is less be a factor of 5.8 MeV/25.6 keV = 227.

* 20. For ⁴He the radius is

$$r = r_0 A^{1/3} = (1.2 \text{ fm}) (4^{1/3}) = 1.90 \text{ fm}$$

$$V = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} = \frac{1.44 \times 10^{-9} \text{ eV} \cdot \text{m}}{1.90 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}} = 0.76 \text{ MeV}$$

For ⁴⁰Ca:

$$\Delta E_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{Z(Z-1)e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R} = 0.72 Z(Z-1) A^{-1/3} \text{ MeV}$$

= 0.72(20)(19)40^{-1/3} MeV = 80 MeV

For ²⁰⁸Pb:

$$\Delta E_{\text{Coul}} = 0.72 \, (82) \, (81) \, 208^{-1/3} \, \text{MeV} = 807 \, \text{MeV}$$

There is a factor of ten between each of these three nuclides.

- 21. Adding another neutron to ¹⁸O reduces δ from $+\Delta$ to 0, makes the symmetry term more negative, and makes the surface term more negative. The net effect of these three terms more than offsets the increase in the volume term.
- 22. δ drops from $+\Delta$ in ⁴²Ca to 0 in ⁴¹Ca. The volume term is substantially higher in ⁴²Ca, more than offsetting the difference in the surface effect. The symmetry term is only slightly higher for ⁴²Ca. Comparing ⁴²Ca and ⁴²Ti, we see that the coulomb term is higher in ⁴²Ti, because it has two more protons.
- * 23. We begin with Equation (12.20) and substitute Equation (12.19) for the Coulomb term. Therefore, we have

$$B\left(\frac{A}{Z}X\right) = a_{V}A - a_{A}A^{2/3} - 0.72\left[Z\left(Z - 1\right)\right]A^{-1/3} - a_{S}\frac{(N - Z)^{2}}{A} + \delta$$

Evaluating this expression for ⁴⁸Ca, we have:

$$B\left(^{48}_{20}\text{Ca}\right) = (14\,\text{MeV})\,48 - (13\,\text{MeV})\,48^{2/3} - 0.72\frac{[20\cdot19]}{48^{1/3}} - (19\,\text{MeV})\,\frac{(28-20)^2}{48} + \frac{33\,\text{MeV}}{48^{3/4}}$$

This gives $B\left(\frac{48}{20}\text{Ca}\right) = 401.492\,\text{MeV}$ which equals $401.492\,\text{MeV}\left(\frac{c^2\cdot\text{u}}{931.5\,\text{MeV}}\right) = 0.43102\,\text{u}\cdot c^2$. From Equation (12.10), we can calculate the mass.

$$M\binom{48}{20}\text{Ca} = 28 \cdot m_n + 20 \cdot M\binom{1}{1} - \left[B\binom{48}{20}\text{Ca}\right]/c^2$$

Substituting, we find

$$M\left(_{20}^{48}\text{Ca}\right) = 28 \cdot (1.008665 \,\mathrm{u}) + 20 \cdot (1.007825 \,\mathrm{u}) - \left[0.43102 \,\mathrm{u} \cdot c^2/c^2\right] = 47.9681 \,\mathrm{u}$$

The value equals $47.9681 \, \mathrm{u} \cdot \left(\frac{931.5 \, \mathrm{MeV}}{c^2 \cdot \mathrm{u}}\right) = 4.468 \times 10^4 \, \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$. The atomic mass given in Appendix 8 is $47.952534 \, \mathrm{u}$. Our calculation based on a semi-empirical formula is different by about 0.03%.

24. a) We use Equation (12.20) to calculate the binding energy with Equation (12.19) for the Coulomb term. We find that $\Delta = (33\,\text{MeV}) \cdot 18^{-3/4} = 3.776\,\text{MeV}$ for the pairing term, so $\delta = -3.776\,\text{MeV}$ for ^{18}N but $+3.776\,\text{MeV}$ for the others, which are even-even nuclei. Once we determine the binding energy, we must divide by 18 to find the binding energy per nucleon. Evaluating this expression for $^{18}_{6}\text{C}$, we have:

$$B\binom{18}{6}C$$
 = $(14 \text{ MeV}) 18 - (13 \text{ MeV}) 18^{2/3} - 0.72 \frac{[6 \cdot 5]}{18^{1/3}} - (19 \text{ MeV}) \frac{(12 - 6)^2}{18} + 3.776 \text{ MeV}$
= 120.2466 MeV

which gives a binding energy per nucleon for $^{18}_{6}\mathrm{C}$ of $6.68\,\mathrm{MeV}$. The values for the other elements are $7.25\,\mathrm{MeV}$, $8.16\,\mathrm{MeV}$, and $7.64\,\mathrm{MeV}$ in the order given.

- b) The nuclide ¹⁸O is most stable, which is expected because it is the closest to having the same number of neutrons and protons and it is even-even.
- c) Using the information in Appendix 8 and Equation (12.10), the binding energy of $^{18}\mathrm{O}$ is:

$$B\binom{18}{8}O = [10m_n + 8M\binom{1}{1} - M\binom{18}{8}O]c^2 = 0.1501 uc^2 = 139.8 \text{ MeV}$$

which gives a binding energy of 7.77 MeV per nucleon.

* 25.

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{(5.271 \text{ y})(3.156 \times 10^7 \text{ s/y})} = 4.167 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

$$N = \frac{R}{\lambda} = \frac{2.4 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}}{4.167 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-1}} = 5.76 \times 10^{15}$$

$$m = (5.76 \times 10^{15}) \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23}} \frac{60 \text{ g}}{\text{mol}} = 0.57 \text{ } \mu\text{g}$$

26.

$$R = R_0 e^{-\lambda t} = \frac{R_0}{5}$$
 at $t = T = 3600$ s
$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 5}{T}$$

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda} = \frac{\ln 2}{\ln 5} T = \frac{\ln 2}{\ln 5} (3600 \text{ s}) = 1550 \text{ s} \approx 26 \text{ minutes}$$

* 27. In general (using the definition of the mean value of a function)

$$\tau = \frac{\int_0^\infty t \, R(t) \, dt}{\int_0^\infty R(t) \, dt} = \frac{1}{N_0} \int_0^\infty t \, R(t) \, dt$$

because all nuclei must decay between t=0 and $t=\infty$. Using $R=R_0e^{-\lambda t}$ we have

$$\tau = \frac{R_0}{N_0} \int_0^\infty t \, e^{-\lambda t} \, dt = \frac{R_0}{N_0} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = \frac{\lambda N_0}{\lambda^2 N_0} = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{t_{1/2}}{\ln 2}$$

28. With 96 % 238 U and 4 % 235 U the number N of 238 U atoms is

$$N = (10 \text{ kg}) \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{0.238 \text{ kg}} \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{\text{mol}} \left(\frac{96}{4}\right) = 6.07 \times 10^{26}$$

The half-life for 238 U alpha emission is found in Appendix 8 as 4.47×10^9 y which equals 1.41×10^{17} s.

$$R = \lambda N = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} N = \frac{\ln 2}{1.41 \times 10^{17} \text{ s}} (6.07 \times 10^{26}) = 2.98 \times 10^9 \text{ Bq}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{(1.277 \times 10^9 \text{ y}) (3.156 \times 10^7 \text{ s/y})} = 1.720 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

$$N = (70 \text{ kg}) \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{0.040 \text{ kg}} \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{\text{mol}} (0.00012) (0.003) = 3.794 \times 10^{20}$$

$$R = \lambda N = (1.720 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}) (3.794 \times 10^{20}) = 6.53 \times 10^3 \text{Bq}$$

* 30.

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{(109.8 \text{ min}) (60 \text{ s/min})} = 1.052 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

$$R = R_0 e^{-\lambda t} = (10^7 \text{ Bq}) \exp(-(1.052 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}) (48) (3600 \text{ s})) = 0.127 \text{ Bq}$$

31.

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{(12.33 \text{ y})} = 5.622 \times 10^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$$

The mass decreases by the same exponential factor as N, so

$$m = m_0 e^{-\lambda t} = (2000 \text{ kg}) \exp(-(5.622 \times 10^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1})(50 \text{ y})) = 120 \text{ kg}$$

32. For convenience assume a mass of 1 kg of each material.

For ³H:

$$N = (1 \text{ kg}) \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{0.003 \text{ kg}} \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{\text{mol}} = 2.01 \times 10^{26}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{(12.33 \text{ y})} = 5.622 \times 10^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$$

$$R = \lambda N = (5.622 \times 10^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}) (2.01 \times 10^{26}) = 1.13 \times 10^{25} \text{ y}^{-1}$$

For ²²²Rn:

$$N = (1 \text{ kg}) \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{0.222 \text{ kg}} \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{\text{mol}} = 2.71 \times 10^{24}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{(3.82 \text{ d}) (1 \text{ y/365.25 d})} = 66.28 \text{ y}^{-1}$$

$$R = \lambda N = (66.28 \text{ y}^{-1}) (2.71 \times 10^{24}) = 1.80 \times 10^{26} \text{ y}^{-1}$$

For ²³⁹Pu:

$$N = (1 \text{ kg}) \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{0.239 \text{ kg}} \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{\text{mol}} = 2.52 \times 10^{24}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{(24110 \text{ y})} = 2.875 \times 10^{-5} \text{ y}^{-1}$$

$$R = \lambda N = (2.875 \times 10^{-5} \text{ y}^{-1})(2.52 \times 10^{24}) = 7.245 \times 10^{19} \text{ y}^{-1}$$

The order of activity is $^{222}\mathrm{Rn} > ^{3}\mathrm{H} > ^{239}\mathrm{Pu}$.

33. The decays in question are $^{52}{\rm Fe} \to n + ^{51}{\rm Fe}$ and $^{52}{\rm Fe} \to p + ^{51}{\rm Mn}.$ neutron decay:

$$Q = (51.948117 - 50.956825 - 1.008665) \,\mathrm{u} \cdot c^2 = -16.2 \;\mathrm{MeV}$$

which is not allowed because Q < 0.

proton decay:

$$Q = (51.948117 - 50.948216 - 1.007825) \text{ u} \cdot c^2 = -7.4 \text{ MeV}$$

which is not allowed because Q < 0. Because ⁴⁰Fe has an excess of protons, it should decay.

- 34. The separation energy is the energy required to "extract" a nucleon from the nucleus and is equal to the absolute value of the Q values found: 7.7 MeV for the neutron and 5.6 MeV for the proton.
- 35. We need not consider β^- decay, because there is no physical object with mass 1 and charge 2. The β^+ decay is $p \to n + \beta^+$, with

$$Q = (m_p - m_n - m_e)c^2 = (1.0072876 - 1.008665 - 0.00054858) \text{ u} \cdot c^2 = -1.8 \text{ MeV}$$

which is not allowed with Q < 0.

For electron capture $p + \beta^- \rightarrow n$, with

$$Q = (m_p + m_e - m_n) c^2 = (1.0072876 - 1.008665 + 0.00054858) u \cdot c^2 = -0.79 \text{ MeV}$$

which is not allowed with Q < 0.

36. For ¹⁴⁴Sm:

$$Q = [M(^{144}Sm) - M(^{140}Nd) - M(^{4}He)]c^{2}$$

= [143.911995 - 139.909310 - 4.002603] u · c² = +0.076 MeV

For ¹⁴⁷Sm:

$$Q = [M (^{147}Sm) - M (^{143}Nd) - M (^{4}He)] c^{2}$$

= [146.914893 - 142.909810 - 4.002603] u · c² = +2.31 MeV

With Q > 0 both isotopes may decay, though the lighter one is nearly stable. The ¹⁴⁷Sm abundance is greater because its decay occurs with an extremely long half-life of 10^{11} years.

37. The decay is $^{241}\text{Am} \rightarrow ^{237}\text{Np} + ^{4}\text{He}$.

$$Q = [M(^{241}Am) - M(^{237}Np) - M(^{4}He)]c^{2}$$

= [241.056823 - 237.048167 - 4.002603] u · c² = 5.64 MeV

By Equation (12.32) the emitted alpha particle's energy is

$$K_{\alpha} = \frac{A-4}{4}Q = \frac{237}{241} (5.64 \text{ MeV}) = 5.55 \text{ MeV}$$

Then by conservation of energy the daughter's kinetic energy is 0.09 MeV.

- 38. Let M be the mass of the decaying nucleus, E_1 and p_1 the energy and momentum of the recoiling nucleus, and let E_2 and p_2 be the energy and momentum of the β^- . Then by conservation of momentum $p_1 + p_2 = 0$, and by conservation of energy $Mc^2 = E_1 + E_2$. Then using the energy-momentum invariant $E^2 = p^2c^2 + E_0^2$ one can rewrite the energy conservation equation in terms of the two momenta. Then we would have two equations in two unknowns $(p_1 \text{ and } p_2)$, and by the laws of algebra there are unique solutions for p_1 and p_2 . Hence there are unique solutions for E_1 and E_2 .
- * 39. 80 Br $\rightarrow ^{76}$ As + 4 He:

$$Q = [79.918530 - 75.922394 - 4.002603] \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{c}^2 = -6.0 \text{ MeV (not allowed)}$$

80
Br $\to ^{80}$ Kr + β^- :

$$Q = (79.918530 - 79.916378) \,\mathrm{u} \cdot c^2 = 2.0 \,\mathrm{MeV}$$
 (allowed)

80
Br $\to ^{80}$ Se + β^{+} :

$$Q = (79.918530 - 79.916522 - 2(0.000549)) u \cdot c^2 = 0.85 \text{ MeV} \text{ (allowed)}$$

80
Br + $\beta^- \rightarrow ^{80}$ Se

$$Q = (79.918530 - 79.916522) u \cdot c^2 = 1.9 \text{ MeV (allowed)}$$

* 40. 227 Ac \rightarrow 223 Fr + 4 He:

$$Q = [227.027747 - 223.019731 - 4.002603] u \cdot c^2 = 5.0 \text{ MeV (allowed)}$$

$$^{227}\text{Ac} \rightarrow ^{227}\text{Th} + \beta^{-}$$
:

$$Q = (227.027747 - 227.027699) \text{ u} \cdot c^2 = 0.045 \text{ MeV}$$
 (allowed, barely)

$$^{227}Ac \rightarrow ^{227}Ra + \beta^{+}$$
:

$$Q = (227.027747 - 227.029171 - 2(0.000549)) u \cdot c^2 = -2.3 \text{ MeV (not allowed)}$$

$$^{227}\mathrm{Ac} + \beta^- \rightarrow ^{227}\mathrm{Ra}$$

$$Q = (227.027747 - 227.029171) \text{ u} \cdot c^2 = -1.3 \text{ MeV (not allowed)}$$

41.
230
Pa $\rightarrow ^{226}$ Ac $+ ^{4}$ He:

$$Q = [230.034533 - 226.026090 - 4.002603] \text{ u} \cdot \text{c}^2 = 5.4 \text{ MeV} \text{ (allowed)}$$

230
Pa $\rightarrow ^{230}$ U + β^- :

$$Q = (230.034533 - 230.033927) \text{ u} \cdot c^2 = 0.56 \text{ MeV (allowed)}$$

230
Pa $\rightarrow ^{230}$ Th + β^{+} :

$$Q = (230.034533 - 230.033127 - 2(0.000549)) \mathbf{u} \cdot c^2 = 0.29 \text{ MeV (allowed)}$$

230
Pa $+\beta^- \to ^{230}$ Th

$$Q = (230.034533 - 227.033127) \,\mathrm{u} \cdot c^2 = 1.3 \,\mathrm{MeV} \,(\mathrm{allowed})$$

- 42. Looking at Figure 12.15 it appears the gamma ray energy can be 0.226 MeV or 0.230 MeV in a transition from E_x to the ground state. Clearly a 0.072 MeV transition is possible. There are also two possible transitions from E_x to the 0.072 MeV level. The energies of those gamma rays are 0.226 MeV -0.072 MeV = 0.154 MeV and 0.230 MeV -0.072 MeV = 0.158 MeV.
- 43. After six days the number of radon atoms remaining is

$$N = N_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln 2)(6 \text{ d})}{3.82 \text{ d}}\right) = 0.3367N_0$$

Therefore the partial pressure of radon is 0.337 atm and the partial pressure of helium is 0.663.

- 44. ⁶⁰Co decays by β^- to ⁶⁰Ni. The daughter nucleus is in an excited state and emits a gamma ray.
- 45. There are three explanations. Looking at the Q formulas, we see that two extra electron masses are required for β^+ decay, and these are not available in "close calls." Second, the product of β^- decay should be more stable with a greater N/A ratio. Finally, successive alpha decays place daughters farther and farther below the line of stability. Only β^- decays can move back in the direction of the stability line.
- 46. For the reaction $^{14}O \rightarrow ^{14}N + \beta^{+}$ we have

$$Q = (14.008595 - 14.003074 - 2(0.000549)) u \cdot c^2 = 4.1 \text{ MeV}$$

which is allowed. For the other reaction $p \to n + \beta^+$ we have

$$Q = (1.007825 - 1.008665 - 2(0.000549)) \text{ u} \cdot c^2 = -1.8 \text{ MeV}$$

which is not allowed.

47. From Equation (12.50)

$$R' = \frac{N(^{206}\text{Pb})}{N(^{238}\text{U})} = e^{\lambda t} - 1$$

Then rearranging we have

$$t = \frac{t_{1/2}}{\ln 2} \ln \left(R' + 1 \right)$$

For R = 0.76:

$$t = \frac{4.47 \times 10^9 \text{ y}}{\ln 2} \ln 1.76 = 3.65 \times 10^9 \text{ y}$$

For R = 3.1:

$$t = \frac{4.47 \times 10^9 \text{ y}}{\ln 2} \ln 4.1 = 9.10 \times 10^9 \text{ y}$$

The large time difference implies different origins.

- 48. ⁴⁰K. ⁸⁷Rb. ¹³⁸La, and ¹⁴⁷Sm have half-lives that are close to the age of the earth. However, of these only ⁸⁷Rb and ¹⁴⁷Sm have reasonable abundances.
- 49. As in Problem 47

$$t = \frac{4.47 \times 10^9 \text{ y}}{\ln 2} \ln 1.01 = 6.4 \times 10^7 \text{ y}$$

* 50.

$$R' = \frac{N(^{206}\text{Pb})}{N(^{238}\text{U})} = e^{\lambda t} - 1 = e^{\ln 2} - 1 = 1$$

where the substitution for λ occurs since the time given in the problem almost exactly matches the half-life of U-238 so that $\lambda t = (\ln(2)/t_{1/2}) t = \ln(2)$.

* 51. From the A values it is clear that there are 28/4 = 7 alpha decays. Seven alpha decays reduces Z from 92 to 78, so there must be four β^- decays in order to bring Z up to 82. There are other possible combinations of beta decays (including β^+ and electron capture), but the net result must be a change of four charge units. We would have to look at a table of nuclides to determine the exact chain(s).

52.

$$0.0072 = \frac{N(^{235}\text{U})}{N(^{238}\text{U})} = \frac{N_0(^{235}\text{U})\exp(-\lambda_{235}t)}{N_0(^{238}\text{U})\exp(-\lambda_{238}t)}$$

so

$$\frac{N_0 (^{235}\text{U})}{N_0 (^{238}\text{U})} = 0.0072 \frac{\exp(-\lambda_{238}t)}{\exp(-\lambda_{235}t)} \\
= 0.0072 \exp\left(\left(\frac{\ln 2}{0.7038 \times 10^9 \text{ y}} - \frac{\ln 2}{4.468 \times 10^9 \text{ y}}\right) (4.5 \times 10^9 \text{ y})\right) = 0.301$$

or 30.1%.

53. a) After one day

$$N = N_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln 2)(24 \text{ h})}{25.39 \text{ h}}\right) = 0.519N_0$$

so $51.9~\rm g$ is still $^{252}~\rm Fm$. The remainder (41.1 g) is almost all $^{248}\rm Cf$. because its half-life is $334~\rm days$.

b) After one month the amount of fermium is

$$N = N_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln 2) (30) (24 \text{ h})}{25.39 \text{ h}}\right) \approx 0$$

Almost none of the fermium is left. It is more difficult to determine the remaining amount of ²⁴⁸Cf. Of the amount created on the first day of the month the remaining amount is about

$$N_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln 2)(29 \text{ d})}{333.5 \text{ d}}\right) = 0.942N_0$$

Of the amount created on the fourth day of the month the remaining amount is about

$$N_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln 2)(25 \text{ d})}{333.5 \text{ d}}\right) = 0.949N_0$$

Because most of the fermium decayed within the first four days, we can see that the sample should be between 94% and 95% remaining as ²⁴⁸Cf by the end of the month.

c) Five years is more than five half-lives of californium, so there is very little of it left. However, the half-life of curium is 18.1 years, so there is at least

$$N_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln 2)(5 \text{ y})}{18.1 \text{ y}}\right) = 0.826N_0$$

of ²⁴⁴Cm after five years.

d) After 100 years more than five half-lives of ²⁴⁴Cm have elapsed. The half life of its decay product ²⁴⁰Pu is quite long, 6593 years, so most of the sample is ²⁴⁰Pu after 100 years.

e) After 240 Pu decays to 236 U with a half-life of 6563 years, the next decay is to 232 Th, with a half-life of 2.34×10^7 y. It takes this amount of time for "most" of the sample to be thorium.

* 54. a) $t_{1/2} = 7.7 \times 10^{24} \text{ y} = 2.4 \times 10^{32} \text{ s}$

$$R = \lambda N = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} \frac{N_A}{0.128 \text{ kg}} = \frac{\ln 2}{2.4 \times 10^{32} \text{ s}} \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{0.128 \text{ kg}} = 1.36 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$$

b)

$$\frac{10 \text{ s}^{-1}}{1.36 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}} = 7.36 \times 10^{8} \text{ kg}$$

This is not a realistic sample size.

* 55. Adding charge dq to a solid sphere of radius r we have an energy change

$$dE = \frac{Q \, dq}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r}$$

where $Q = \frac{4}{3}\rho\pi r^3$ is the charge already there and ρ is the charge density. Also we know $dq = \rho dV = 4\pi \rho r^2 dr$.

$$dE = \frac{16\pi^2 \rho^2 r^4}{3\left(4\pi\varepsilon_0\right)} dr$$

Integrating from 0 to R we find

$$\Delta E = \int_0^R \frac{16\pi^2 \rho^2 r^4}{3(4\pi\epsilon_0)} dr = \frac{16\pi^2 \rho^2 R^5}{15(4\pi\epsilon_0)}$$

The charge density is $\rho = Q/V = 3Q/4\pi R^3$, so

$$\Delta E = \frac{16\pi^2 R^5}{15 (4\pi\varepsilon_0)} \left(\frac{3Q}{4\pi R^3}\right)^2 = \frac{3Q^2}{5 (4\pi\varepsilon_0) R} = \frac{3 (Ze)^2}{5 (4\pi\varepsilon_0) R}$$

56. For Δx use the diameter $2r = 2r_0A^{1/3} = 2(1.2 \text{ fm}) 2^{1/3} = 3.02 \text{ fm}$. Then $p_{\min} = \hbar/2\Delta x$ and

$$K_{\min} = \frac{p_{\min}^2}{2m} = \frac{\hbar^2}{8m \left(\Delta x\right)^2} = \frac{\left(197.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ eV} \cdot \text{m}\right)^2}{8 \left(938 \times 10^6 \text{ eV}\right) \left(3.02 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}\right)^2} = 569 \text{ keV}$$
$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{2h\Delta x}{\hbar} = 4\pi \Delta x = 4\pi \left(3.02 \text{ fm}\right) = 38 \text{ fm}$$

These results are reasonable, because the energy is smaller than the deuteron's binding energy, and the wavelength computed is the *maximum* wavelength, so the actual wavelength may be less. Shorter wavelengths, corresponding to the size of the nucleus, are allowed by the uncertainty principle.

- 57. The parity term in the semi-empirical formula, along with the more negative coulomb and symmetry terms, keep larger odd-odd nuclei from being stable. The spins are significant in this case, because transitions with very large ΔJ are very forbidden.
- 58. With an even number of neutrons this isotope is apparently the most stable judging from the semi-empirical formula. The alpha decay would be 165 Ho \rightarrow 161 Tb + 4 He with

$$Q = [164.930319 - 160.927566 - 4.002603] \mathbf{u \cdot c^2} = 0.14 \text{ MeV}$$

With a very low Q value, this process is allowed, but barely. With such a small Q value, it is difficult to overcome the nuclear attraction.

59. a) Radon is created at a rate $\lambda_1 N_1$ and lost at a rate $\lambda_2 N_2$, so the net change is

$$dN_2/dt = \lambda_1 N_1 - \lambda_2 N_2.$$

b) Using separation of variables

$$\frac{dN_2}{\lambda_1 N_1 - \lambda_2 N_2} = dt$$

Let $u = \lambda_1 N_1 - \lambda_2 N_2$ so $du = -\lambda_2 dN_2$ (because we assume N_1 is constant). Then

$$\frac{du}{u} = -\lambda_2 dt \qquad \qquad \ln u = -\lambda_2 t + \ln(\text{const.})$$

$$u = (\text{const.})e^{-\lambda_2 t} = \lambda_1 N_1 - \lambda_2 N_2$$

To determine the constant, set $N_2 = 0$ at t = 0, so the constant is $\lambda_1 N_1$:

$$\lambda_1 N_1 e^{-\lambda_2 t} = \lambda_1 N_1 - \lambda_2 N_2$$

or rearranging

$$N_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 N_1}{\lambda_2} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda_2 t} \right)$$

- c) For large t we have $e^{-\lambda_2 t} \approx 0$, and so $N_2 \approx \lambda_1 N_1 / \lambda_2$ (which is constant).
- 60. a) From the uncertainty principle $\Delta E \Delta t = \hbar/2$, so

$$\Delta E = \frac{\hbar}{2\Delta t} = \frac{6.582 \times 10^{-16} \text{ eV} \cdot \text{s}}{2(1.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s})} = 1.73 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$$

b) We have $5\Delta E/E = v/c$, which we can solve for v:

$$v = \frac{5\Delta E}{E}c = \frac{5(1.73 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV})}{129 \times 10^3 \text{ eV}} (2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}) = 2.01 \text{ cm/s}$$

- 61. a) Examining the mass numbers it must come from four alpha decays of ²³⁸U.
 - b) From the table of nuclides the decays are:

$$^{222}\text{Rn} \ \rightarrow \ ^{218}\text{Po} \ + \ ^{4}\text{He} \qquad ^{218}\text{Po} \ \rightarrow \ ^{214}\text{Pb} \ + \ ^{4}\text{He} \qquad ^{214}\text{Pb} \ \rightarrow \ ^{214}\text{Bi} \ + \ \beta^-$$

- c) The only half-life longer than an hour is the first decay, with $t_{1/2} = 3.8$ days. Therefore more than half the decays will occur in four days.
- * 62. a) Both ${}^4\mathrm{He}$ and ${}^{16}\mathrm{O}$ are "doubly magic": that is both Z and N are magic numbers. These elements are more tightly bound than nuclei around them.
 - b) Because 208 Pb has Z=82 and N=126 it is doubly magic. It is particularly stable and its binding energy is high. Other nuclei around it are unstable because of the large Coulomb energy.
 - c) 40 Ca is doubly magic and is the most stable of the calcium isotopes. It is the heaviest nuclide with Z=N. 48 Ca is also stable and has Z=20 and N=28 which are both magic numbers. Six isotopes of calcium are stable with Z=20 and various values of N.
 - 63. ⁴₂He. ¹⁶₈O, ⁴⁰₂₀Ca, ⁴⁸₂₀Ca, ²⁰⁸₈₂Pb